Applicants have carefully considered the Final Office Action mailed June 29, 2010,

and respond as follows.

Claims 1, 2, 4-16, 18-31, 45, 70-71, and 76-98 are pending. Proposed amendments

to claims 1, 45, 92, 97 and 98 are presented herein. No new subject matter has been added.

Summary of Interview with Examiner

Applicants' representative, Jeanne M. Tanner, sincerely thanks Examiner Ewald for

the courtesies extended during a telephonic interview of August 2, 2010. During the

interview, Applicants expressed a desire to place the application in condition for allowance.

Amendments to claims 1, 45, 92 and 97 were discussed. Additionally, the elements of claim

97 were discussed with reference to the disclosures of Cartwright and Leoni. Examiner

Ewald indicated that the proposed amendments to the claims initially appeared to place the

application in condition for allowance. Applicants understand that such an indication of

allowability is provisional and subject to a final search of the art.

Final Office Action

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner, among other things:

• indicated that claims 83-84 and 90-91 are allowed.

Proposed Amendments

Applicants have amended claim 1 to depend from allowed claim 84.

Further, Applicants have amended claim 45 to depend from allowed claim 91.

Applicants have amended claim 92 to recite that "the deformable member is

configured to contact said compression wall."

Applicants have amended claim 97 to remove the term "substantially" from the

phrase "the compression chamber has a substantially uniform thickness." Applicants assert

that the claimed "uniform thickness," as would be understood by a person of ordinary skill

15

Application No. 10/561,934

Response dated August 17, 2010

Reply to Office Action of June 29, 2010

in the art, encompasses a nearly uniform thickness, i.e., minor variations in the thickness of

the compression chamber are encompassed by the term "uniform thickness."

Applicants have amended claim 98 to recite that "the deformable member is

configured to contact said compression wall when said controlling fluid is injected into said

compression chamber."

Conclusion

As every objection and rejection has been addressed by Applicants, Applicants

believe that this application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request action to

that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: August 17, 2010

By: /Jeanne M. Tanner/

Jeanne M. Tanner Reg. No. 45,156

28 State St., Suite 1800

Boston, MA 02109-1701

617-720-9600

16